	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/753,413	BRADSHAW, DAVID BENEDICT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory M. Desire	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Gregory M. Desire.	(3)	
(2) <u>James R Banowsky</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>21 December 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A	pplicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: claims 13 and 22		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
		·
Theory Osive		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 13 and 22 cite a computer readable medium. The specification page 42 lines 3-6 and 16-19 describes a computer readable medium to comprise of communication media and storage media, wherein communication media includes carrier waves. Thus, claims 13 and 22 are described as signal claims. The examiner suggested amending claims 13 and 22 to exclude communication media and be limited to the storage media. Thus, claiming away from a signal claim. Claims 13 and 22 were agreed to be amended to "computer- readable storage medium."